50 Nashua Road, Suite 300 Londonderry, New Hampshire 03053 Tel (603) 432-1555 Fax (603) 432-0542

October 9, 1996

RECEIVED

OCT 1 6 1996

FCC MAIL ROOM

Chairman Reed Hundt Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street NW Washington, D.C. 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RE: Bundling of CPE by Interexchange Carriers, CC Docket 96-61

Dear Mr. Chairman:

As a competitive Value Added Reseller ("VAR"), we wish to express our strong opposition to the Commission's proposal to allow Interexchange carriers to bundle customer premises equipment ("CPE") with their regulated transmission service.

Value Added Resellers purchase equipment from independent CPE manufacturers. We then combine equipment from different manufacturers to provide customized solutions that meet the individualized needs of our customers. Because most of our customers are small to medium size businesses, they lack the resources or sophistication to assemble such customized solutions themselves. As a result, VARs are an important source of choice for these users.

If the Commission allows CPE Bundling, we believe that many VARs will be forced out of business. Bundling would allow carriers to offer packages that combine transmission service with "free" CPE. As a result, end users will have no practical choice but to accept the CPE chosen by their carriers, even if it is not the best equipment for their needs. This result would not be in the public interest.

We, therefore, urge the Commission to retain the current rule, thereby allowing us to continue to provide increased choice to our customers.

Raymond Claise

Sincerely.

President, NOVA ELECTRONICS DATA, INC.

cc: Commissioner James Quello
Commissioner Susan Ness
Commissioner Rachelle Chong
Secretary FCC William A. Canton

No. of Copies rec'd______ List ABCDE



6981 Washington Avenue South • Edina, Minnesota 55439 (612) 946-7999 • (800) 246-7999 • Fax (612) 946-1066

October 10, 1996

Secretary of FCC William A. Caton Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street NW Washington, DC 20554 FCC MAIL ROOM OCT 161996 FECTERIED

RE:Bundling of CPE by Interexchange Carriers
CC Docket 96-61

OCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Dear Mr. Chairman:

As a competitive Value Added Reseller ("VAR"), we wish to express our strong opposition to the Commission's proposal to allow interexchange carriers to bundle customer premises equipment ("CPE") with their regulated transmission service.

Value Added Resellers purchase equipment from independent CPE manufacturers. We then combine equipment from different manufacturers to provide customized solutions that meet the individual needs of our customers. Because most of our customers are small to medium size businesses, they lack the resources or sophistication to assemble such customized solutions themselves. As a result, VARs are an important source of choice for these users.

If the Commission allows CPE bundling, we believe that many VARs will be forced out of business. Bundling would allow carriers to offer packages that combine transmission service with free CPE. A company such as ours simply cannot compete against such an offer. As a result, end-users will have no practical choice but to accept the CPE chosen by their carriers, even if it is not the best equipment for their needs. This result would not be in the public interest.

We therefore urge the Commission to retain the current rule, thereby allowing us to continue to provide increased choices to our customers.

Sincerely,

cc: Chairman Reed Hundt
Commissioner James Quello
Commissioner Susan Ness
Commissioner Rachelle Chong

No. of Copies rec'd_____List ABCDE

ECC MAIL ROOM OCT 16 1996

Secretary of FCC William A. Caton Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street NW Washington, DC 20554

RE: Bundling of CPE by Interexchange Carriers

CC Docket 96-61



9 Brook Road Falmouth, ME 04105

207 797-8410 FAX 797-8438

800 397-8417

Dear Secretary of FCC:

As a competitive Value Added Reseller ("VAR"), we wish to express our strong opposition to the Commission's proposal to allow interexchange carriers to bundle customer premises equipment ("CPE") with their regulated transmission service.

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Value Added Resellers purchase equipment from independent CPE manufacturers. We then combine equipment from different manufacturers to provide customized solutions that meet the individual needs of our customers. Because most of our customers are small to medium size businesses, they lack the resources or sophistication to assemble such customized solutions themselves. As a result, VARs are an important source of choice for many users.

If the Commission allows CPE bundling, we believe that many VARs will be forced out of business. Bundling would allow carriers to offer packages that combine transmission service with free CPE. A company such as ours simply cannot compete against such an offer. As a result, end-users will have no practical choice but to accept the CPE chosen by their carriers, even if it is not the best equipment for their needs. This result would not be in the public interest.

We therefore urge the Commission to retain the current rule, thereby allowing us to continue to provide increased choices to our customers.

Sincerely.

Suzan Elichaa Vice President

uchac

No. of Copies rec'd______ List ABCDE



October 07, 1996

William A. Caton Secretary FCC Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street NW Washington, D.C. 20554 OCT 16 1996
FCC MAIL ROOM
DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Re: Bundling of CPE by Interchange Carriers, CC Docket 96-61

Dear William,

As a competitive Value Added Reseller ("VAR"), we wish to express our strong opposition to the Commission's proposal to allow interexchange carriers to bundle customer premises equipment ("CPE") with their regulated transmission service.

Value Added Resellers purchase equipment from independent CPE manufacturers. We then combine equipment from different manufactures. Become most of our customers are small to medium size businesses, they lack the resources or sophistication to assemble such customized solutions themselves. As a result, VARs are important source of choice for these users.

If the Commission allows CPE bundling, we believe that many VARs will be forced out of business. Bundling would allow carriers to offer packages that combine transmission service with "free" CPE. A company such as ours simply cannot complete against such an offer. As a result, end-users will have no practical choice but to accept the CPE chosen by their carriers, even if it is not the best equipment for their needs. This result would not be in the public interest.

We therefore urge the Commission to retain the current rule, thereby allowing us to continue to provide increased choice to our customer.

Best Regards,

Jim Wolande
CEO

Triangle Technologies, Inc.

cc: Commissioner James Quello Commissioner Susan Ness Commissioner Rachelle Chong

No. of Copies rec'd List ABCDE



Corporate Headquarters 2915-A Courtyards Drive Norcross, GA 30071

(770) 263-9756

(800) 238-1094 FAX: (770) 246-0398

October 10, 1996

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL Chairman Reed Hundt Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street NW

Washington D.C. 20554

Bundling of CPE by Interexchange Carriers, CC Docket 96-61

Dear Mr. Chairman:

As a competitive Value Added Reseller ("VAR"), we wish to express our strong opposition to the Commission's proposal to allow interexchange carriers to bundle customer premises equipment ("CPE") with their regulated transmission service.

Value Added Resellers purchase equipment from independent CPE manufacturers. We then combine equipment from different manufacturers to provide customized solutions that meet the individualized needs of our customers. Because most of our customers are small to medium size businesses, they lack the resources or sophistication to assemble such customized solutions themselves. As a result, VARs are an important source of choice for these users.

If the Commission allows CPE bundling, we believe that many VARs will be forced out of business. Bundling would allow carriers to offer packages that combine transmission service with "free" CPE. A company such as ours simply cannot compete against such an offer. As a result, end-users will have no practical choice but to accept the CPE chosen by their carriers, even if it is not the best equipment for their needs. This result would not be in the public interest.

We therefore urge the Commission to retain the current rule, thereby allowing us to continue to provide increased choice to our customers.

Sincerely

Dennis Fryzel

President

cc: Commissioner Quello Commissioner Ness Commissioner Chong William A. Caton

No. of Copies rec'd

List ABCDE